

**2025 PJM Request for Proposals for Capacity or Capacity and Energy  
Question & Answers**

**Last Updated:** December 23, 2025

Q&A Posted 11/03/25

**Q1. Would utility scale solar projects (ex: 50MW dc and/or a 134 MW ac) be eligible to participate in the RFP?**

A1: No. Per the NYISO's Market Administration and Control Area Services Tariff (the MST), utility scale solar projects that are not located within the New York Control Area are not eligible to participate in the NYISO Capacity market. Refer to footnote at the bottom of page 4 of the RFP.

**Q2. Can demand response located in NJ qualify as a capacity resource for this RFP?**

A2: No. The NYISO does not allow demand response from a control area outside of New York to be counted as a capacity resource in New York.

**Q3. Given that new generation cannot be permitted or commissioned by the January 14, 2026, proposal submission deadline, will there be an option to withdraw the proposal without penalty if the generation ultimately proves to be non-viable?**

A3: Proposals submitted in the 2025 PJM Request for Proposals for Capacity or Capacity and Energy may be withdrawn without penalty by the Respondent at any time up to the time when the contract associated with the proposal is fully executed and effective.

**Q4. If we are selected in the RFP and move to an agreement, will the contract have a condition precedent that conditions the transaction on PSEG LI obtaining PJM transmission to exit the RTO? If no, will PSEG LI have the transmission in hand in time for the PJM generator (Seller) to obtain a Must Offer Obligation exception from PJM that will hold us out of the auction and enable us to not commit our capacity in the 3 year ahead BRA?**

A4: No, transmission is not a condition precedent. LIPA is responsible for securing the necessary transmission service on a timely basis in order to allow the Respondent enough time to meet its filing obligations with PJM. LIPA will work on a cooperative basis with the winning Respondent(s) so that it has all the necessary information to make the filing; however, LIPA has the responsibility to secure and pay for the

**2025 PJM Request for Proposals for Capacity or Capacity and Energy  
Question & Answers**

transmission service. If LIPA fails to secure the necessary transmission service, it will still have the contractual obligation to pay the generation owner.

**Q5. Is the Seller selling NYISO UCAP?**

A5: No. The Seller is selling ICAP.

**Q6. What happens if the NYISO capacity accreditation scheme changes in the future?**

A6: The Buyer takes on the risk of the ICAP to UCAP conversion.

**Q7. To sell capacity, is there a requirement to also provide energy to be considered under this procurement?**

A7: No. Respondents can submit a Capacity-only proposal. However, in a Capacity-only contract, if there is an SRE call from the NYISO, there is a requirement that the unit selling capacity be online and producing energy in PJM at the level of the NYISO SRE call. That energy, however, is not required to be scheduled into NY.

**Q8. Is it possible to submit multiple projects that aggregate up to the 50 MW minimum?**

A8: No. As stated in Section 2.1.2 of the RFP, each Project must have a minimum Capacity of 50 MW.

**Q9. Does each project have to be a minimum of 50 MW?**

A9: Yes. As stated in Section 2.1.2 of the RFP, each Project must have a minimum Capacity of 50 MW.

**Q10. For BESS projects, is there a duration requirement?**

A10: Per the footnote at the bottom of page 4 of the RFP, Energy Storage facilities that are not located within the New York Control Area are not eligible to participate in the NYISO Capacity market as external installed capacity suppliers.

Q&A Posted 12/23/25

**Q11. Could you please post to the RFP website/ share the presentation materials from the webinar?**

A11: The PowerPoint presentation from the webinar is posted on the RFP website.

**2025 PJM Request for Proposals for Capacity or Capacity and Energy  
Question & Answers**

**Q12. Will proposals for existing nuclear or other baseload generation qualify under this RFP?**

A12: Yes, as long as the Project(s) meet the requirements laid out in the RFP.

**Q13. Is PSEG LI interested in purchasing attributes from carbon free resources as part of the bundled Energy& Capacity product?**

A13: No. Unfortunately, LIPA would not be able to receive any credits in New York for the purchase of attributes from carbon-free resources under New York's current Clean Energy Standards and the NYIOS rules. (For importing Projects, the technology of the Resource that produces the Tier 1 REC is limited to Wind and Solar. And they have to be vintage with commercial operation after January 1, 2015. However, NYISO will not qualify Capacity from Solar and Wind from neighboring control areas as Locational Installed Capacity as captured on the footnote on pg 4 of the RFP.)

**Q14. Could you please clarify the requirements in Sec.6.3.18 pointing to the specific delist PJM rules referenced in the RFP? Is the RFP referring to Exporting (formerly known as "Delisting") a generation resource is accomplished by reporting a bilateral transaction with an "External Party" (i.e., "EXT") listed as the "Buyer" in a unit-specific Transaction?**

A14: The language in the RFP is referring to Exporting of Capacity of a generating resource in accordance with PJM Manual 18, Section 4.6.3 and then at a later point in time, after fulfillment of Buyer's responsibility to purchase Contract Capacity, undoing the Export process so that the Contract Capacity can be sold in PJM in accordance with PJM Rules. Since we have used language in our RFP that is no longer in use in the PJM Rules (i.e., Delist/Relist), we are planning to amend Section 6.3.18.1 to read as follows:

"Section 6.3.18.1 The Respondent must provide a statement affirming that it will respond to a notice from LIPA instructing it to take all actions necessary to release a portion of the capacity export process from its Project(s) in accordance with PJM Rules. Respondent statement shall also state that it shall cooperate with Buyer in (i) completing and submitting a timely termination or reduction request of the export capacity as required by PJM in order to terminate fully or partially reduce the export capacity process and (ii) arranging for such capacity to be available for sale in applicable PJM markets."

**2025 PJM Request for Proposals for Capacity or Capacity and Energy  
Question & Answers**

**Q15. In addition to amendments to the RFP Sec 6.3.18.1, do you also plan to amend the PJM 2025 PPA form for Existing Projects to reflect changes to language that is no longer in use in the PJM Rules (i.e., Delist/Relist), for example, in Sec 3.2.1, 3.19?**

A15: Yes.

**Q16. With regards to the PJM 2025 PPA form for Existing Projects, please confirm if the requested Guaranteed Project Availability Percentage for the Winter Capability Period is 100% or 95%. The Guaranteed Available Capacity is defined as equal to: (i) for the NYISO Summer Capability Period, the product of the Contract Capacity and 100%, and (ii) for the NYISO Winter Capability Period, the product of the Contract Capacity and 95%.**

A16: The Guaranteed Project Availability Percentage for the Winter Capability Period is 95%.

**Q17. Was the webinar recorded and published?**

A17. It was not recorded; however, the PowerPoint slides used in the webinar are posted on the RFP website.

**Q18. Submittals for existing: May a resource submit two proposals? One that is capacity only and another for capacity and energy together? Or is only one proposal allowed?**

A18: Respondents may submit as many Proposals as they would like. They are not limited to the submission of only one Proposal. Each Proposal must meet all of the requirements set forth in the RFP for a Proposal.

**Q19. Delist/Relist changes: Both the RFP and PPA describe how the Buyer (LIPA) may ask the Seller to Delist/Relist all or a portion of the capacity. However, there is little instructions or clarification on how that process and timing will work. Where may I better understand might this look in a multiple year contract?**

A19: LIPA desires to have the flexibility within the contract to either import the Capacity to Long Island and count it as Locational Installed Capacity or sell it in PJM after fulfilling its obligation as the Buyer to receive purchase Contract Capacity at the Delivery Point(s), all consistent with PJM Rules, from time-to-time over the multi-year contract based on LIPA's Capacity position(s). LIPA currently is unable to

**2025 PJM Request for Proposals for Capacity or Capacity and Energy  
Question & Answers**

forecast the exact timing of when it would make these decisions. But the process and timing will comply with the governing PJM and NYISO Rules.

**Q20. Is there any consideration if the resource is out due to a transmission outage and not at the issue of the resource not being able to perform, in focused on the Project Availability Percentage and other performance tracking?**

A20: Yes. Since LIPA is responsible for transmission from the Contract Capacity Delivery Point to Long Island, the Respondent's Project Availability Percentages and performance tracking would need to be adjusted so that outages on this transmission path would not result in a negative impact on the Respondent.

**Q21. Are the approvals from NY State (Attorney General and Office of State Comptroller) time bound and expected by 12/31/26? As there are deadlines in January 2027 associated with the June 1, 2030 commencement date.**

A21: Yes, they are expected by 12/31/26 under the current target schedule. However, neither the NY State Attorney General (NYAG) nor the Office of State Comptroller (OSC) are bound by strict timelines. The NYAG only reviews the resulting contract for form and generally this review is relatively quick—a couple of weeks. Then the OSC reviews the entire procurement record and the contract - beginning after the NYAG has approved the contract. The OSC is supposed to complete its review and render its decision within three months of receiving the relevant documents by the submitting agency; however, it can and has, infrequently so, extended that time by submitting questions. LIPA needs to be informed of any deadlines that Respondents have with their Proposed Projects, as LIPA does have some limited ability to expedite the state approval process in certain situations.